Vol.03, Issue 01 (2024) 31 - 43
DOI: 10.58921/jse.03.01.065

Journal of Sustainable Environment
ISSN (p): 2710-2386, ISSN (e): 2957-9228

Published by SMI UNIVERSITY 1885

The economic viability and social acceptability of Black Soldier Fly Larvae frass as a substitute for chemical fertilizer in agriculture in Cameroon

Marykathleen Agbornawbi Tambeayuk[1*], Olalekan J. Taiwo[2], Marc Anselme Kamga[1,3], David Ning Njuh[4]

[1] Environmental Management Program, Pan African University Life and Earth Sciences Institute (including Health and Agriculture), Ibadan, Nigeria

[2] Department of Geography, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

[3] Department of Industrial Security, Quality and Environment, National Advanced School of Mining and Petroleum Industries, University of Maroua, Kaele, Cameroon.

[4] Faculty of Education, University of Yaounde 1, Cameroon

* Corresponding Author email: marynawbi@gmail.com kathleentambeayuk@gmail.com

Abstract

This study evaluates the economic viability and social acceptance of the use of BSFL frass by-product of waste valorisation by the BSFL as an alternative to synthetic fertiliser. The economic data was gathered by performing a cost-benefit ratio analysis and a return on investments study. Social data was gathered by administering a total of 150 questionnaires to selected urban farmers across the centre region of Cameroon. The results depicted that using BSFL Frass (BSFLf) resulted in the highest Return on Investment, profit margin, and Cost-Benefit ratio when compared to the most general inorganic fertiliser (NPK, Urea, Yara and Surface) used in Cameroon. Results obtained for gross profit margin and return on investment (ROI) showed that BSFL frass showed the best economic performance as compared to the inorganic fertilizers. The ROI value for BSFL frass was obtained as 4.16 as compared to 3.16 for NPK, urea and Yara while the same value was obtained as 3.54 for surface fertilizer. The gross profit margin value for BSFL frass was obtained as 0.99 for BSFL frass compared to 0.94 for NPK, urea and Yara and 0.96 for surface fertilizer. The result of this study validates the use of the BSFL as a sustainable substitute for synthetic fertiliser in agriculture as its product of BSFL frass waste has been found to contribute positively to food security as it is both economically affordable, viable and socially acceptable.

Key Words: Waste valorisation, Black Soldier Fly Larvae frass, Economic Viability, Social acceptance, Urban Farming sustainability

1. INTRODUCTION

The migration of people from the rural to the urban areas is referred to as urbanisation. It is primarily the process by which towns and cities develop and grow as more people move to urban centres to live and work. In 2019, the United Nations forecasted that more than half of the world's population (4.2 billion people) lives in urban areas; by 2041, this number is expected to reach 6 billion. Urban existence is the cornerstone of today's human ecology. Over the past two centuries, cities have swiftly developed and spread around the globe. Cities are sources of innovation as well as engines of economic progress (Mcmichael, 2000). Despite the advantages of urbanisation, migration from rural to urban areas is accompanied by a number of significant problems, such as malnutrition, pollution-related health disorders and infectious diseases, inadequate sanitation and housing conditions, and associated health problems (Kuddus et al., 2020). In addition to the ambiguity regarding food availability in rural areas and environmental degradation, (Mcmichael,2000) there is also the issue of environmental degradation. Africa is currently the least urbanized major region globally, as the correlation between economic development and urbanization is weaker than in other regions. However, it is also the region with the most significant rapid urbanization, according to (Brennan, 1999). The yearly urban growth rate in Sub-Saharan Africa is 4.1%, over double the global average (Saghir & Santoro, 2018).

1.1 The Urbanisation Problem

Urbanisation is a worldwide phenomenon, but its effects in recent years has been more pronounced in the developing nations of the world (Vij, 2012).

The rapid urban population growth in sub-Saharan Africa is mostly attributed to emigration from rural poverty and high urban fertility rates. In addition to its socioeconomic effects, this fast urbanization contributes to environmental problems like as heavy use of natural resources (Wang et al., 2020), pollution (air pollution, water pollution, land pollution, and soil pollution) (Liang & Yang, 2019), and waste generation (municipal solid waste, industrial waste, agricultural waste) (Saghir & Santoro, 2018). These environmental issues and the lack of provision of enough food, water, and sanitation are the most significantly affecting the urban areas of the region (Drechsel & Dongus, 2010).

1.1.1 Waste generation

Several socioeconomic factors, such as education, occupation, and family composition, have been found to affect the rate of MSW generation and to be linked with MSW generation (Bandara et al., 2007). Similarly, several indicators of urbanisation are linked with MSW generation (Ho et al., 2017). as well. Urbanisation is causing waste generation to increase at a rate that exceeds urbanisation itself (Hoornweg & Bhada, 2012).

1.1.2 Agriculture in Cameron

The agriculture sector in Cameroon is amongst the main occupations for over 70% of citizens and contributes enormously to the country's economy. Agricultural sector, an estimated 45% of Cameroon's gross domestic product (GDP) depends on Agriculture (Johnston et al., 2007). In addition, agricultural sector is responsible for providing food security to both the Cameroonian rural and urban populations via local production. Until the late 80s, Cameroon was considered self-sufficient in agricultural production and played a role of food garret for its neighbouring countries but in recent years there has been a decline in its agricultural production (Abia et al., 2017).

1.1.3 Food Insecurity

Urbanisation is a fundamental factor influencing the viability of agricultural development and the character of global food security (Vasylieva & James, 2021). Urbanization brings major changes in demand for agricultural products both from increases in urban populations and from changes in their diets and demands. This has brought and continues to bring major changes in how demands are met and, in the farmers, companies, corporations, and local and national economies who benefit (and who lose out). It can also bring major challenges for urban and rural food security (Satterthwaite, 2010). Urbanisation poses a threat to food availability in terms of shifting consumption patterns, food production and distribution methods (Sylvia, 2017).

As a response to the increase in waste generated as a result of urbanization, research to propose sustainable solutions has gravitated toward the valorisation of said waste through the use of Black Soldier Fly larvae, whose ability to feed on various types of organic waste veraciously makes them suitable for converting and reducing the waste generated to a significant degree (Diener et al., 2009). As well as the urban agriculture (UA), commonly defined as the cultivation, processing, and distribution of foodstuffs from crop and animal production within and around urban areas, has been found to be the solution to urban food demands for the ever-growing population in most developing communities. On the one hand, UA is frequently viewed as a solution to some of the social, economic, and environmental difficulties in urban areas (Miccoli et al., 2016). A solution to environmental issues like waste generation is through the recycling of organic waste on agricultural land as a way to improve its quality (Davies et al., 2021). Several advantages accrue from the social aspect of urban agriculture. Physical activity enhancement: In cultures where obesity is an issue, urban gardens offer residents the chance to boost their physical activity via gardening. In addition to being viewed as a form of therapy, landscape renewal is supported by psychological studies demonstrating that its impacts hasten a person's health improvement as stated by (Marzieh, 2019). Last but not least, the economic benefits of agriculture through creating jobs and empowering the urban population through trainings on urban agriculture (Alvarenga, 2022). On the other hand, experts have highlighted the challenges to UA, citing numerous instances in which UA practices in Sub Saharan Africa have been proven to be unsustainable. Traditional farming methods in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) have resulted to significant nutrient depletion and low crop yields (Vasylieva & James 2021). Due to increasing demand and limited land availability, farmers have increased their use of nitrogen fertilizers to boost agricultural productivity. However, nitrogen fertilizers can cause soil acidification, heavy metal pollution, soil compaction, and microbiota alterations (Satterthwaite et al., 2010). UA has proven to be an inefficient source of revenue for low-income urban households that rely primarily on cash income to meet their basic requirements (Lin et al., 2019), especially when compared to the input (economic value/cost) involved in urban farming. As a result, the global community has advocated for sustainable urban agriculture, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa, which is afflicted by insufficient understanding in the use of fertilizers among farmers, low farmer literacy, and declining soil scientific ability, among other problems. (Golden, 2013). For resource-poor farmers, the cost and availability of synthetic fertilisers, along with inherently low soil fertility, is a significant barrier to increasing crop yields (Sylvia, 2016), consequently, developing sustainable 'on-farm' strategies is essential to enhancing crop nutrient-use efficiency (Diener et al., 2019). One of the goals of Sustainable urban agriculture is to increase environmental flexibility, sustainability and economic viability of urban farming. Researchers have determined that boosting organic farming over the use of inorganic fertilizer may enhance the environmental resilience and economic feasibility of urban agricultural systems (Singh & Kumari, 2019). Unlike the use of vermicompost as a substitute for inorganic fertilizer in the majority of agricultural practices and its plethora of benefits, such as its ability to act as biofertilizers, restore soil nutrients, stabilize soil, and increase soil fertility over a long period of time (Micoli et al., 2016), which have been widely demonstrated and documented, the use of Black soldier fly larvae frass as a substitute for inorganic fertilizer is a novel practice. The use of the Black Soldier larvae Frass ("Frass" refers to the castings (poop), leftover food, and exoskeletons remaining in a compost pile after all the larvae have been removed) as a biofertilizer and soil conditioner (Diener et al., 2019) though novel has also been proven to have other environmental benefits like the bio stimulant properties of insect byproducts in the frass conclusively showing to improve plant resistance to pests, then the reduction in the reliance on pesticides which could inherently have important consequences for biodiversity (Kayabasi ET, Yilmaz 2021). [31]. Various life cycle assessments of the performance of black soldier fly. The role of black soldier fly larvae in promoting sustainability is being thoroughly researched. Its contribution to the environmental dimension of sustainability has been shown to be beneficial, for example, through its role in sustainable waste management by reducing significant amounts of organic wastes. The economic aspect of the role played by the BSFL, particularly the viability of its frass to be used as an organic fertilizer and soil conditioner, thereby promoting sustainable urban agriculture, is still being researched, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa, where the farming system is primarily dependent on family capital (Schmitt & Vries, 2020). This study aims to establish the economic viability through performing an Environmental cost-benefit analysis (which is the application of CBA to projects or policies with the intention of improving the natural environment, or to acts that indirectly influence the natural environment (OECD 2018) and social acceptability of BSFL frass use in urban agriculture in the cultivation of maize in the urban town of Yaoundé Cameroon. Which will serve in establishing the role such alternative technologies play in sustainable development.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Globally, the rate of waste production is increasing. In 2020, it was estimated that the world would generate 2.24 billion tonnes of solid waste or 0.79 kilogrammes per person per day. Rapid population growth and urbanisation are expected to increase annual waste generation by 73% from 2020 levels to 3.88 billion tonnes in 2050 (Wynants al., 2019). Compared to residents of developed nations, residents of developing countries, particularly urban ones, are more severely impacted by improper waste management. Proper waste management is essential for constructing sustainable and habitable cities, but many developing countries and municipalities continue to struggle with this issue. The cost of effective waste management is high. It has been reported that by (Ho et al., 2017). [33] 2025, solid waste management costs will increase from the current annual level of $205.4 billion to approximately $375.5 billion. Cost increases will be most severe in low-income and lower-middle-income countries (increases of more than fivefold and fourfold, respectively). Cameroon ranks sixth in Africa and second among low-middle-income countries worldwide in terms of waste generation (Shimeles et al., 2018) with a huge portion of the waste generated being organic waste, it is therefore imperative that Integrated solid waste management (ISWM) systems be the norm in this nation. ISWM reflects the need to approach solid waste comprehensively, with careful selection and sustained application of appropriate techniques, and establishment of a social licence' between the community and designated waste management authorities (typically local government), as well as considering the value of waste streams, the actors involved, and potential implementation constraints. With such a waste management scheme, the social, economic, and environmental, thus promoting sustainable development (Hoornweg & Bhada 2012). In the implementation of ISMW, researchers have pursued techniques suitable for developing nations, and from numerous studies, they proposed the Fly larvae composting process using the Black soldier fly larvae (Golden 2013). The black soldier fly is well-known for its characteristic of being a voracious feeder that feeds on various types of organic waste (Crush et al., 2011) and its performance in organic waste valorisation into multiple products, such as alternative protein sources for livestock feed (Gligorescu et al., 2020), and most recently organic fertiliser (Li et al., 2011). In the developed world, the use of BSFL in waste management and subsequent use as a protein source and biodiesel production is widely encouraged. It has been shown to meet one of the dimensions of sustainable development, namely the environmental aspect, because its negative environmental impacts are significantly lower than those of other waste management techniques (Beesigamukama, 2020), and its economic viability and social acceptability (Jaza, 2017), are somewhat researched and documented. In Sub-Saharan Africa and Africa as a whole, researchers are warming up to the use of BSFL in waste management and focusing on its protein-providing and biodiesel properties (Ho et al., 2017). [42,53]. However, the use of BSFL frass, particularly in urban agriculture, is hesitant because its economic viability and social acceptability are not adequately well-known. These factors are equally crucial to sustainable development (Sprangers al.,2017) as environmental sustainability, as they enable policymakers to make informed decisions regarding refuse management (IPCC,2012).

3. METHODOLOGY

The research was conducted in Cameroon's central area (Figure 1). The physical location of the region is 4.6298° North latitude and Longitude 11.7068° E. This region is pertinent for investigating the acceptability of BSFL frass as an organic fertiliser and soil conditioner because it is characterised by a significant proportion of urban farming households. Urban and peri-urban agriculture in Cameroon's central region and capital, Yaoundé, is a rising activity in the survival economy and contributes to the population's access to seasonal fruits, vegetables and grains (maize in the case of this study maize, (Zea mays)) (Achillas al., 2011).



Figure 1: Study Area

3.1 Financial analysis of the BSFL Frass

An experimental design was constructed to determine the economic feasibility of using the suggested organic fertiliser made from Black Soldier Fly Larvae Frass (BSFLf). The study involved a control group and an experimental design. The experimental design involves cultivating maize in open field agriculture and applying several formulations of soil conditioner to maize plants growing in ultisol soil. Ultisols are reddish, clay-rich, acidic soils that support mixed forest vegetation prior to cultivation. The studies comprised treatments that included the essential component of the BSF frass, along with four replications. The control group cultivated their maize using four regularly utilised synthetic fertilisers in Cameroon, namely NPK, UREA, YARA, and Surface. Each trial utilised a land area of 300 square metres, and the corn yield was determined using a corn yield calculator (Sogang & Monkouop, 2022).

Return on investment (RoI) and cost-benefit ratio (CBR) (Michałowska, 2024) were used to examine the economic significance of substituting BSFL frass for inorganic fertiliser in maize cultivation. As an indicator in CBA, the cost-benefit ratio (CBR) was employed to summarize the economic worth of replacing inorganic fertiliser with BSFLf. Four distinct inorganic fertilizers supplied in Cameroon and the price of BSFL used in waste treatment were utilized to compute fertilizer expenses. The anticipated yield per parcel of land (land size) cultivated on each fertiliser was used to calculate the overall revenue from maize production. This yield was supposed to represent all the benefits derived from the production. The CBR represents the ratio between production income and production cost. A BCR value greater than 1 indicates that the production's benefits outweighed its costs and vice versa. RoI measures the profit or loss generated by an investment relative to the amount of capital invested. The greater the RoI value, the greater the returns of the proposed project (Leu al., 2022). Economic performance was determined by the gross profit, gross profit margin, –benefit-cost ratio (BCR), and return on investment (RoI).

The following formulas were utilized, which were adapted from (Sumbule al., 2022).

$$\text{Total revenue} = \text{Unitary price} \times \text{total yield}$$

$$\text{Gross profit} = \text{total revenue} - \text{total production cost.}$$

$$\text{Gross profit margin} = \text{gross profit/ total revenue}$$

$$\text{Net profit} = \text{Gross profit} - \text{total cost}$$

$$\text{CBR} = \text{Gross profit / total production cost} \times 100$$

$$\text{ROI} = \text{net profit/total production cost}$$

3.2 Accessing social Acceptance of BSFLf

This study was undertaken in the urban zone of the central region, Cameroon, where urban farming is a vital sector for sustaining livelihoods and many culinary cultures exist. A systematic questionnaire was used to conduct interviews with 150 people who practice urban agriculture, a sample size which was informed by a similar previous study (Ousman, 2022). With both open-ended and closed-ended questions, the questionnaire gathered information on farmer gender, attitudes of employing black soldier fly larvae frass, etc. Farmers were chosen at random. To qualify for inclusion in the study, farmers had to have been involved in urban agriculture and be regular users of inorganic fertilizer. The questions were self-administered based on the language of the participants (French or English). The study questionnaire was divided into four sections. The first aimed at collecting demographic and socioeconomic information, such as age, gender, marital status, religion, occupation, etc., about the participants. In section 2, participants were asked how they felt about using BSFL frass as an organic fertilizer as opposed to conventional fertilizer. This was determined using a five-point semantic differential scale: 1 = strongly acceptable; 2 = acceptable with some reservations; 3 = neutral; 4 = unacceptable; and 5 = severely unacceptable. Thirdly (section 3), participants were asked their opinions on the potential advantages of employing BSFL frass as an organic fertilizer as opposed to the traditional. On a five-point Likert scale, 1 represents strong agreement, 2 represents agreement, 3 represents neither agreement nor disagreement, 4 represents disagreement, and 5 represents severe disagreement. In Section 4, using the same Likert scale-based options as described previously, participants were asked their opinions on the potential dangers associated with utilizing BSFL frass as an organic fertiliser instead of conventional fertilizers. The analysis of data was performed using IBM SPSS Statistic 21. To analyse the demographic and socioeconomic status of respondents/farmers, frequencies were employed. Robust Tests of Equality of Means were used to determine the equality of means.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Economic performance

The total production was calculated based on the specified parameters and their corresponding costs, as shown in Table 2. The cost of fertiliser is the sole factor that affects and is considered in the calculation of production cost. This assumes that the costs of raw materials (such as land, maize seeds, and water), direct labour (with a labour force of 3), and overhead costs remain constant for maize production. The disparity in overall corn production among the several experiments, as determined by the corn yield calculator (Michałowska, J. 2023), was minimal (with the yield of corn grown on BSFL frass being the highest thus exhibiting the potential of BSFLf to increase corn yield), as illustrated in table 1. Therefore, the overall output was not considered when determining the production cost, resulting in the subsequent calculation of the cost benefit ratio and return on investment.

The 300 m^2 choice of land was based on the average surface area of land used for urban agriculture per agriculturalist in Cameroon reported by (Chia al., 2019).

Table 1: Total Yield per 300 m^2

Parameters	BSFL frass				NPK				UREA				YARA				SURFACE			
Replications	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Kernels per ear	480	473	502	511	488	501	507	493	422	383	485	506	380	500	412	496	412	488	387	500
Ears in sample	50				50				50				50				50			
Size of field	300 m^2				300 m^2				300 m^2				300 m^2				300 m^2			
Size of kernels	L	L	L	M	L	M	M	M	L	L	L	M	L	M	M	L	L	M	L	M
Total yield (Bushels)	22.24	21.91	23.26	21.04	22.61	20.63	20.88	20.30	19.65	17.75	22.47	20.8	17.06	20.59	16.96	22.98	19.09	20.09	17.93	20.59
Average total yield	22.11				21.10				20.16				19.39				19.42			

Table 2: Production cost

Parameters	Cost ($)
Land (300m^2)	7500
Work equipment (Cutlasses and hoes)	45
Water	--
Fertilizer	4.8
Seedlings (0.54kg×605)	1.008
Production cost	5.808
Overhead cost	16.67
Total cost	22.48

[a] Exchange rate 1USD = 600 XAF

The calculation of production costs did not include fixed costs (land, work equipment) because they cannot be recovered in a single planting season because they must be amortised over multiple planting seasons and years. Since urban farming, especially over 300m^2, conducted by an individual will be performed by the owner, no compensation (income) will be paid. Thus, it was not included either. Hence, production is obtained by adding the costs of fertilisers and seedlings. The quantity of seedlings utilised was determined and adapted from (Onsongo al., 2018) and the price of maize seeds was determined and adapted from (Boakye al., 2022).

In this study, the BSFL frass had no effect on the total cost of production in terms of frass production, as it is presumed that the BSF was attracted from the wild (Bopda al., 2010). After reproduction, the larvae were fed different types of waste. Hence the cost of frass was not allocated.

Table 3 and figure 2 display the results of the return on investment (RoI) and benefit-cost ratio (CBR). CBR and RoI were also found to differ between synthetic and frass-based fertilisers. The most expensive growing fertilizer was NPK (widely used in Cameroon), Urea, and Yara, whereas BSFL was the least expensive, with the exact yield as the rest. The most significant gross profit margin was obtained when BSFL frass was used. The return on investment increased when inorganic fertiliser was substituted with BSFL frass (Table 3) which was because of the comparatively low production cost of the BSFL frass.

Inorganic or synthetic fertilizer had the lowest gross profit margin, CBR, and return on investment when compared to BSFL frass. The BSFL frass has been proven to be more cost-effective than typical inorganic/synthetic fertilizer, due to the fact that the use of frass in agriculture has proven to be more profitable compared to the production cost and other synthetic fertilisers commonly used in Cameroon, whose prices are continually rising as the majority of fertilizer sold in Cameroon is imported. The low cost of BSFL frass is reflected in increased profitability, CBR, and RoI when compared to inorganic/synthetic fertilizer.

Results obtained for gross profit margin and return on investment (RoI) showed that BSFL frass showed the best economic performance as compared to the inorganic fertilizers. The RoI value for BSFL frass was obtained as 4.16 as compared to 3.16 for NPK, urea and Yara while the same value was obtained as 3.54 for surface fertilizer. The gross profit margin value for BSFL frass was obtained as 0.99 for BSFL frass compared to 0.94 for NPK, urea and Yara and 0.96 for surface fertilizer.

The economic analysis of substituting inorganic fertiliser with BSFL frass in Maize (Zea mays) cultivation indicated that the production cost of BSFL brass (1.008) was the much lower when compared with the selected inorganic or synthetic fertilizer which ranged from 4.308 to 5.808. In terms of the yield (kg/300m^2) the value obtained (187.5) was the same for all the selected fertilizers. The gross profit of BSFL frass (98.37) was much greater than that obtained for the selected inorganic fertilizers which ranged from 93.57 to 95.07.

Table 3: Economic analysis of substituting inorganic fertiliser with BSFL frass in Maize (Zea mays) cultivation

Parameters	Fertiliser/per utilisation				
	NPK	Urea	Yara	Surface	Bsfl frass
Cost of fertiliser ($/300m^2)	4.8	4.8	4.8	3.3	--
Production cost	5.808	5.808	5.808	4.308	1.008
Yield (kg/300m^2)	187.5	187.5	187.5	187.5	187.5
Price $ (per kg)	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.53
Total yield or revenue ($)/	99.38	99.38	99.38	99.38	99.38
Gross profit	93.57	93.57	93.57	95.07	98.37
Gross profit margin	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.96	0.99
Total cost	22.48	22.48	22.48	20.96	17.68
Net profit	71.09	71.09	71.09	74.11	80.69
CBR	16.11	16.11	16.11	22.07	97.59
RIO	3.16	3.16	3.16	3.54	4.56



Figure 2: Cost benefit analysis curves

4.2 Social attitude perceptions and acceptability of BSFL frass

Most participants in this study were over 30 years old (40%). Of the participants, 48.67% were male and 51.33% were female. 48% and 60% of the population were married and Christian, respectively. Many participants (68%) are engaged in urban farming as a primary or secondary source of income and have been doing so for at least six to ten years (40%) (Table 4).

4.2.1 Perceptions and attitudes of farmers towards the usage of BSFL frass as an organic fertiliser as opposed to the extensively utilised inorganic fertiliser in agriculture.

Table 5 presents the opinions of farmers regarding the usage of BSFL frass as an organic fertiliser as opposed to the widely used inorganic fertiliser in agriculture. Most women regarded the concept to be highly acceptable, although men expressed some reservations. When asked about an organic waste-based fertiliser containing larvae excrement and exoskeleton, its use in agriculture, and how they feel about consuming food grown on such a fertiliser, the women scored an average of 1.000, while men scored an average range of 2.000-2.0274, indicating that the average female respondent finds the idea strongly acceptable, while the average male respondent finds the idea acceptable with some reservations, this is so because when given the opportunity, women have demonstrated greater adaptability in implementing new strategies than males (Omoigui al., 2020) and once the women were made aware of the benefits of using frass over synthetic fertiliser, any reservations they may have had were rapidly dispelled.

Table 4: Farmers' demographic characteristics

Terms	Status	Frequency	Percentage
Age (years)	21 - 30	53	35.0
	31 -40	60	40.0
	41-50	29	19.0
	51-60	5	3.0
	Above 60	3	2.0
	Total	150	100
Gender	Male	73	48.67
	Female	77	51.33
	Total	150	100.0
Religion	Christian	90	60.0
	Muslim	11	7.0
	Traditionalist	15	10.0
	Other	34	22.0
	Total	150	100.0
Marital status	Single	51	34.0
	Married	73	48.0
	Divorced	7	4.0
	Widowed	7	4.0
	Never married	12	8.0
	Total	150	100.0
Educational level	No formal education	36	24.0
	Primary	12	8.0
	Secondary	54	36.0
	Tertiary	28	18.0
	Technical/vocational	20	13.0
	Total	150	100.0
Occupation	Farming	103	68.0
	Animal husbandry	3	2.0
	Both	44	29.0
	Total	150	100.0
Working years	Less than 1	2	1.0
	1-5	57	38.0
	6-10	60	40.0
	Above 10	31	20.0
	Total	150	100.0

Table 5: Perceptions and attitudes of farmers towards the usage of BSFL frass

	Pooled sample	*Type of respondent*		*Standard Error*	*P-value*
		Male	Female		
What are your thoughts on the idea of producing organic waste-based fertiliser over the use of inorganic fertiliser?	1.0000	2.0274	1.0000	.08232	.034
What are your thoughts on the idea of producing organic waste-based fertiliser over the use of inorganic fertiliser?	2.0267	2.0000	1.0000	.08135	.033
What are your thoughts on the use of BSFL frass in agriculture?	2.0067	2.0000	1.0000	.08054	.025
How do you feel about consuming agricultural products grown on all types of organic wastes	1.0000	2.0000	1.0000	.07469	.017

[b] Scale: 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree).
[c] Mean within the same row with $P < 0.05$ are statistically significant and imply equality of mean and $P > 0.050$ imply significant difference of mean.

4.2.2 Perception of potential benefits of using BSFL frass-based fertilisers in agriculture.

The results of this study suggested a favourable attitude towards the potential benefits of substituting BSFL frass for inorganic fertiliser in urban agriculture (Table 6). Farmers had the most favourable attitude towards waste management and the environmental sustainability benefits that result from it. Youth farmers, however, rejected this notion. The weakly perceived advantage was that the use of BSFL frass-based fertiliser created competition in the fertiliser market, resulting in a decrease in the price of synthetic fertiliser and so making urban farming more affordable.

Table 6: Perception of potential benefits of using BSFL frass-based fertiliser.

	Pooled sample	Type of respondent		Standard error	P-value
		Male	Female		
The use of BSFL frass fertiliser reduces your reliance on conventional inorganic fertiliser.	2.0000	2.0000	2.0000	.08097	.000
The use of BSFL frass-based fertiliser enhances the value of organic waste, thus creating a cleaner environment	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	.06956	.000
The use of BSFL frass-based fertiliser will create competition and can lead to the reduction of inorganic fertiliser	2.0000	2.0000	2.0390	.07246	.000
The use of BSFL frass-based fertiliser will enhance the urban agriculture sustainability	2.0333	2.0000	1.0000	.07994	.024

[b] Scale: 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree).
[c] Mean within the same row with P < 0.05 are statistically significant and imply equality of mean and P > 0.050 imply significant difference of mean.

4.2.3 Perception of potential dangers associated with the usage of BSFL-based fertilisers in agriculture.

Table 7 displays farmers' perceptions of potential risks related to the use of BSFL-based fertilisers in agriculture. When asked about their views on the numerous alleged concerns associated with the usage of BSFL frass, farmers were beset by indecision. To the possibilities of cross microbial contamination from BSFL frass to food products, reduced consumption of products by the public in response to knowledge of the type of fertiliser used, reduced yield and malformation of farm products, the allergenic potential of the frass on the crops and consumers, and finally, the loss of crops caused by the use of frass, farmers on average were neither in agreement nor disagreement regarding the perceived dangers.

Table 7: Perception of potential dangers associated with the usage of BSFL based fertilisers in agriculture.

	Pooled sample	Gender of respondent		Standard error	P-value
		Male	Female		
The use of BSFL frass-based fertiliser could lead to microbiology introduction and food contamination	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000	.08059	.000
The use of BSFL frass-based fertiliser could lead low consumer acceptance of agricultural products.	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000	.08124	.000
The use of BSFL frass-based fertiliser could result in low yield and/or malformation of certain farm products	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000	.08341	.000
Crops grown on BSFL frass-based fertiliser could cause allergic reactions in humans when consumed.	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000	.08215	.000
The use of BSFL frass-based fertiliser could cause death to crop	3.0000	3.0000	3.0000	.08375	.000

[b] Scale: 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree).
[c] Mean within the same row with P < 0.05 are statistically significant and imply equality of mean and P > 0.050 imply significant difference of mean.

Internationally, the use of BSFL frass as a substitute for inorganic fertiliser has garnered increasing attention, although it is still a novel practise in sub-Saharan Africa. As a result, information regarding its economic viability and the attitude of farmers towards the use of BSFL frass is still restricted, a factor that, along with environmental sustainability, contributes to sustainable development. In this study, it was discovered that using BSFL frass in urban farming yields a higher return on investment and profit margin than synthetic fertilisers, which is in accordance with the findings of (Ewusie al., 2019). Farmers supported the concept of substituting BSFL frass for inorganic fertiliser in urban agriculture, indicating that the average responder viewed the concept as 'appropriate. Many male farmers had doubts, which may be related to their uncertainty as to whether or not the final yield of the product would be equivalent to or the same as that cultivated with chemical fertiliser. They did not see the need to treat organic waste, particularly animal manure, because it could be spread straight to farms. When told, women recognised the detrimental effects of animal manure (Beesigamukama al., 2022). In addition, women were more receptive to the concept because they predominate in urban agriculture (Malomo al., 2018) and efficiently adapt to the changes required to sustain a robust and dynamic agricultural sector. It was determined that the consumption of crops cultivated on BSFL frass was neither acceptable nor undesirable, as were the threats to crops posed by crop death, and crop mortality. Although frass hasn't been registered to have negative impacts on human health and crop health as supported by studies (Reynolds al., 2015, Ngome & Foeken, 2012, Gebremikael et al., 2022), the respondents when presented with the aforementioned evidence were not fully persuaded that frass would not have any detrimental impacts to either human health and crop health. Farmers adopted the concept of employing BSFL frass as organic fertiliser and soil conditioner, thereby decreasing their reliance on synthetic fertiliser. This is in agreement with (Basri al., 2022) which reported that the use of local organic fertilizer such as frass can reduce the urban farmer's reliance on increasingly environmentally detrimental, expensive and less accessible foreign synthetic fertilisers and enable the valorisation of organic waste. Organic waste poses a significant threat to our living environment. Therefore, efficient waste management is crucial for the preservation of the ecosystem. BSFL has been described as a solution for waste management (Kim al., 2021) by transforming garbage into precious wealth (Sprangers al., 2017) through the composting of fly larvae. According to (Mertenat al., 2019), the use of BSFL in waste valorisation is one of the most feasible and environmentally friendly techniques for the bioconversion of waste into useful and high-quality products (protein source and biodiesel) for developing nations and recent studies have shown that combining the production of BSFL protein and biodiesel with organic fertiliser production through BSFL waste valorisation could increase the economic value of organic waste which is in accordance with the findings reported by (Choi & Hassanzadeh, 2019). According to our findings, farmers approved of adopting BSFL to enhance organic waste as its becoming a nuisance. There are hazards linked with the usage of BSFL frass, despite its tremendous advantages. Major considerations include customer acceptance of end-products and safety concerns associated to the introduction of microorganisms in plants and insects' excretory matter, exoskeleton, dead larvae, etc., which can cause allergic reactions (Basri al., 2022). Little data exist on the allergenic dangers of the use of frass. (Omoigui al., 2020) discovered a low level of Escherichia coli in BSFL frass and a disease-causing bacterium in Xanthomonadaceae plants. even though it is stated that these bacteria cause damage to crops (Costa al., 2021), nothing is known about allergies caused by consumption of crops grown on frass insects. moreover, they represent a modest threat of transmitting phytotoxic diseases.

5. FUTURE DIRECTION OF RESEARCH

Future research should assess the impact of using frass in agriculture on human health.

6. CONCLUSION

The BSFL is extensively employed in the valorisation of organic waste and its numerous environmental benefits have been meticulously documented over the years. Developing nations favour the use of BSFL due to its characteristic of voraciously feeding on a wide variety of organic waste, its low cost and ease of implementation compared to other environmentally friendly organic waste management methods, and the BSFL products of Protein, biodiesel, and BSFL frass. By providing alternatives to protein sources for animal feed, fuel, and synthetic fertilisers, the products of the BSFL are extensively used to address sustainability, environmental concerns, and food security. Frass, a novel approach, has been demonstrated to be more cost-effective than the most widely used synthetic fertiliser and is considered suitable for Cameroonian farmers, confirming that BSFL frass meets all sustainability criteria (economically viable and socially acceptable).

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All the reviewers are highly acknowledged. This writes up constitutes part of data generated during the Ph.D. study of the first author, at the Pan African University, Life and Earth Science Institute. The Ph.D. programme of Ms. Marykathleen Agbornawbi Tambeayuk is being funded by African Union Commission through his flagship institution Pan African University (PAU). The Pan African University seeks to nurture quality and exemplify excellence in Africa.

8. REFERENCES

McMichael, A. J. (2000). The urban environment and health in a world of increasing globalization: issues for developing countries. Bulletin of the world Health Organization, 78, 1117-1126.

Kuddus, M. A., Tynan, E., & McBryde, E. (2020). Urbanization: a problem for the rich and the poor?. Public health reviews, 41, 1-4.

Brennan, E. M. (1999). Population, urbanization, environment, and security: a summary of the issues. Environmental Change and Security Project Report, 5(4), e14.

Saghir, J., & Santoro, J. (2018, April). Urbanization in Sub-Saharan Africa. In Meeting Challenges by Bridging Stakeholders. Washington, DC, USA: Center for Strategic & International Studies.

Vij, D. (2012). Urbanization and solid waste management in India: present practices and future challenges. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 37, 437 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.309

Wang, W., Wu, T., Li, Y., Xie, S., Han, B., Zheng, H., & Ouyang, Z. (2020). Urbanization impacts on natural habitat and ecosystem services in the guangdong-hong kong-macao "megacity". Sustainability, 12(16), 6675.

Liang, W., & Yang, M. (2019). Urbanization, economic growth and environmental pollution: Evidence from China. Sustainable Computing: Informatics and Systems, 21, 1-9.

Yiougo, L. S., Oyedotun, T. D., Some, C. Y., & Da, E. C. (2013). Urban cities and waste generation in developing countries: A gis evaluation of two cities in burkina faso. Journal of Urban and Environmental Engineering, 7(2), 280-285.

Drechsel, P., & Dongus, S. (2010). Dynamics and sustainability of urban agriculture: examples from sub-Saharan Africa. Sustainability Science, 5, 69-78.

Bandara, N. J., Hettiaratchi, J. P. A., Wirasinghe, S. C., & Pilapiiya, S. (2007). Relation of waste generation and composition to socio-economic factors: a case study. Environmental monitoring and assessment, 135, 31-39.

Ho, W. S., Hashim, H., Lim, J. S., Lee, C. T., Sam, K. C., & Tan, S. T. (2017). Waste Management Pinch Analysis (WAMPA): Application of Pinch Analysis for greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reduction in municipal solid waste management. Applied Energy, 185, 1481-1489.

Satterthwaite, D., McGranahan, G., & Tacoli, C. (2010). Urbanization and its implications for food and farming. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences, 365(1554), 2809–2820. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0136

Vasylieva, N., & James Jr, H. (2021). The effect of urbani zation on food security and agricultural sustain ability. Economics & sociology, 14(1), 76-88.

Satterthwaite, D., McGranahan, G., & Tacoli, C. (2010). Urbanization and its implications for food and farming. Philosophical transactions of the royal society B: biological sciences, 365(1554), 2809-2820.

Szabo, S. (2016). Urbanisation and food insecurity risks: Assessing the role of human development. Oxford Development Studies, 44(1), 28-48.

Diener, S., Zurbrügg, C., & Tockner, K. (2009). Conversion of organic material by black soldier fly larvae: establishing optimal feeding rates. Waste management & research, 27(6), 603-610.

Singh, A., & Kumari, K. (2019). An inclusive approach for organic waste treatment and valorisation using Black Soldier Fly larvae: A review. Journal of environmental management, 251, 109569.

Miccoli, S., Finucci, F., & Murro, R. (2016). Feeding the cities through urban agriculture the community esteem value. Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia, 8, 128-134.

Nkrumah, B. (2018). Edible backyards: climate change and urban food (in) security in Africa. Agriculture & Food Security, 7, 1-5.

Davies, J., Hannah, C., Guido, Z., Zimmer, A., McCann, L., Battersby, J., & Evans, T. (2021). Barriers to urban agriculture in Sub-Saharan Africa. Food Policy, 103, 101999.

Alvarenga, P., Palma, P., Mourinha, C., Farto, M., Dôres, J., Patanita, M., ... & Sousa, J. P. (2017). Recycling organic wastes to agricultural land as a way to improve its quality: a field study to evaluate benefits and risks. Waste Management, 61, 582-592.

Golden, S. (2013). Urban agriculture impacts: Social, health, and economic: An annotated bibliography. UC Davis, Davis, CA.

Chianu JN, Chianu JN, Mairura F. Mineral fertilizers in the farming systems of sub-Saharan Africa. A review. Agron Sustain Dev. 2012;32(2):545–66.

Chianu, J. N., Chianu, J. N., & Mairura, F. (2012). Mineral fertilizers in the farming systems of sub-Saharan

Africa. A review. Agronomy for sustainable development, 32, 545-566.

Crush, J., Hovorka, A., & Tevera, D. (2011). Food security in Southern African cities: The place of urban agriculture. Progress in development studies, 11(4), 285-305.

White, S., & Hamm, M. W. (2014). Urban agriculture and a planning approach to urban food systems. Center Report Series, 4.

Reynolds, T. W., Waddington, S. R., Anderson, C. L., Chew, A., True, Z., & Cullen, A. (2015). Environmental impacts and constraints associated with the production of major food crops in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. Food Security, 7, 795-822.

Quilliam, R. S., Nuku-Adeku, C., Maquart, P., Little, D., Newton, R., & Murray, F. (2020). Integrating insect frass biofertilisers into sustainable peri-urban agro-food systems. Journal of Insects as Food and Feed, 6(3), 315-322.

Wijerathna, P. N. M. M., Sandeepani, D. M. M., & Samaraweera, G. C. (2022). Paddy farmers' perception on banning of inorganic fertilizer: A case in Mapalana village in Sri Lanka.

Kayabaşı, E. T., & Yılmaz, O. (2021). The importance of vermicompost in agricultural production and economy. Eurasian Journal of Agricultural Research, 5(2), 146-159.

Schmitt, E., & de Vries, W. (2020). Potential benefits of using Hermetia illucens frass as a soil amendment on food production and for environmental impact reduction. Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry, 25, 100335.

Shimeles, A., Verdier-Chouchane, A., & Boly, A. (2018). Building a resilient and sustainable agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa. Springer Nature.

Hoornweg, D., & Bhada-Tata, P. (2012). What_Waste_Global_Review_2012. World Bank, Washint DC.

Taelman, S. E., Tonini, D., Wandl, A., & Dewulf, J. (2018). A holistic sustainability framework for waste management in European cities: Concept development. Sustainability, 10(7), 2184.

Diener, S., & Zurbrugg, C. (2008). Conversion of organic refuse by saprophages (CORS). Sandec News, 99, 10.

Diener, S., Zurbrügg, C., Gutiérrez, F. R., Nguyen, D. H., Morel, A., Koottatep, T., & Tockner, K. (2011). Black soldier fly larvae for organic waste treatment-prospects and constraints. Proceedings of the WasteSafe, 2, 13-15.

Mertenat, A., Diener, S., & Zurbrügg, C. (2019). Black Soldier Fly biowaste treatment–Assessment of global warming potential. Waste management, 84, 173-181.

Siddiqui, S. A., Ristow, B., Rahayu, T., Putra, N. S., Yuwono, N. W., Mategeko, B., ... & Nagdalian, A. (2022). Black soldier fly larvae (BSFL) and their affinity for organic waste processing. Waste Management, 140, 1-13.

Miranda CD, Cammack JA, Tomberlin K. Reared on Three Manure Types. 2019;

da Silva, G. D. P., & Hesselberg, T. (2020). A review of the use of black soldier fly larvae, Hermetia illucens (Diptera: Stratiomyidae), to compost organic waste in tropical regions. Neotropical entomology, 49(2), 151-162.

Spranghers, T., Ottoboni, M., Klootwijk, C., Ovyn, A., Deboosere, S., De Meulenaer, B., ... & De Smet, S. (2017). Nutritional composition of black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens) prepupae reared on different organic waste substrates. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 97(8), 2594-2600.

Gligorescu, A., Fischer, C. H., Larsen, P. F., Nørgaard, J. V., & Heckman, L. H. L. (2020). Production and optimization of Hermetia illucens (L.) larvae reared on food waste and utilized as feed ingredient. Sustainability, 12(23), 9864.

Liu, T., Klammsteiner, T., Dregulo, A. M., Kumar, V., Zhou, Y., Zhang, Z., & Awasthi, M. K. (2022). Black soldier fly larvae for organic manure recycling and its potential for a circular bioeconomy: A review. Science of the Total Environment, 833, 155122.

Beesigamukama, D., Mochoge, B., Korir, N. K., Fiaboe, K. K., Nakimbugwe, D., Khamis, F. M., ... & Tanga, C. M. (2020). Exploring black soldier fly frass as novel fertilizer for improved growth, yield, and nitrogen use efficiency of maize under field conditions. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11, 574592.

Boakye-Yiadom, K. A., Ilari, A., & Duca, D. (2022). Greenhouse gas emissions and life cycle assessment on the black soldier fly (Hermetia illucens L.). Sustainability, 14(16), 10456.

[Folefack, A. J. (2017). Comparing the Benefits Between Producing Maize for Seeds or Consumption in Cameroon. Tropicultura, 35(2). [52] Sumbule EK, Ambula MK, Osuga IM, Changeh JG, Mwangi DM, Subramanian S, et al. Cost-effectiveness of black soldier fly larvae meal as substitute of fishmeal in diets for layer chicks and growers. Sustain. 2021;13(11):1–20.

Sathaye, J., Najam, A., Cocklin, C., Heller, T., Lecocq, F., Llanes-Regueiro, J., ... & Winkler, H. (2007). Sustainable development and mitigation. In Climate change 2007: Mitigation of climate change (pp. 691-743). Cambridge University Press.

Index, D. A. Q. (2017). Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs, UK.

Achillas, C., Vlachokostas, C., Moussiopoulos, N., Banias, G., Kafetzopoulos, G., & Karagiannidis, A. (2011). Social acceptance for the development of a waste-to-energy plant in an urban area. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 55(9-10), 857-863.

Sogang, T. N., & Monkouop, Y. (2022). Past, present and future of urban agriculture in Cameroon: major contemporary challenges (1993-2017). Journal of Agricultural Chemistry and Environment, 11(1), 1-14.

[Sumbule EK, Ambula MK, Osuga IM, Changeh JG, Mwangi DM, Subramanian S, et al. Cost-effectiveness of black soldier fly larvae meal as substitute of fishmeal in diets for layer chicks and growers. Sustain. 2021;13(11).

The Impact of Fertilizer Prices on Africa https://afripoli.org/the-impact-of-fertilizer-prices-on-africa

Chia, S. Y., Tanga, C. M., Osuga, I. M., Alaru, A. O., Mwangi, D. M., Githinji, M., ... & Dicke, M. (2019). Effect of dietary replacement of fishmeal by insect meal on growth performance, blood profiles and economics of growing pigs in Kenya. Animals, 9(10), 705.

Onsongo, V. O., Osuga, I. M., Gachuiri, C. K., Wachira, A. M., Miano, D. M., Tanga, C. M., ... & Fiaboe, K. K. M. (2018). Insects for income generation through animal feed: effect of dietary replacement of soybean and fish meal with black soldier fly meal on broiler growth and economic performance. Journal of economic entomology, 111(4), 1966-1973.

Bopda, A., Brummett, R., Dury, S., Elong, P., Foto-Menbohan, S., Gockowski, J., ... & Temple, L. (2010). Urban farming systems in Yaoundé–building a mosaic. African Urban Harvest: Agriculture in the Cities of Cameroon, Kenya and Uganda, 39-59.

Bopda, A., Brummett, R., Dury, S., Elong, P., Foto-Menbohan, S., Gockowski, J., ... & Temple, L. (2010). Urban farming systems in Yaoundé–building a mosaic. African Urban Harvest: Agriculture in the Cities of Cameroon, Kenya and Uganda, 39-59.

Beesigamukama, D., Mochoge, B., Korir, N., Menale, K., Muriithi, B., Kidoido, M., ... & Tanga, C. M. (2022). Economic and ecological values of frass fertiliser from black soldier fly agro-industrial waste processing. Journal of Insects as Food and Feed, 8(3), 245-254.

Malomo, G. A., Madugu, A. S., & Bolu, S. A. (2018). Sustainable Animal Manure Management Strategies. Agricultural waste and residues, 119.

Ngome, I., & Foeken, D. (2012). "My garden is a great help": gender and urban gardening in Buea, Cameroon. GeoJournal, 77, 103-118.

Gebremikael, M. T., Wickeren, N. V., Hosseini, P. S., & De Neve, S. (2022). The impacts of black soldier fly frass on nitrogen availability, microbial activities, C sequestration, and plant growth. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 6, 795950.

Basri, N. E. A., Azman, N. A., Ahmad, I. K., Suja, F., Jalil, N. A. A., & Amrul, N. F. (2022). Potential applications of frass derived from black soldier fly larvae treatment of food waste: A review. Foods, 11(17), 2664.

Kim, C. H., Ryu, J., Lee, J., Ko, K., Lee, J. Y., Park, K. Y., & Chung, H. (2021). Use of black soldier fly larvae for food waste treatment and energy production in Asian countries: a review. Processes, 9(1), 161.

77] Choi S, Hassanzadeh N. BSFL Frass: A Novel Biofertilizer for Improving Plant Health While Minimizing Environmental Impact. Candian Sci fair J. 2019;2(2):41–6.

Costa, J., Pothier, J. F., Boch, J., Stefani, E., Jacques, M. A., Catara, V., & Koebnik, R. (2021). Integrating science on Xanthomonadaceae for sustainable plant disease management in Europe. Molecular Plant Pathology, 22(12), 1461.